

GOA INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patto Plaza, Panaji.

Appeal No. 62/2007-08/GAPL

Shri. P. V. Desai,
B2, F - 10, Excel Residency,
Caranzalem - Goa.

.....

Appellant.

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer,
The DGM : QA/QC,
Goa Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Ltd.,
Tuem, Pernem - Goa.
2. First Appellate Authority,
The General Manager,
Goa Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Ltd.,
Tuem, Pernem - Goa.

.....

Respondents.

CORAM:

Shri A. Venkataratnam
State Chief Information Commissioner
&
Shri G. G. Kambli
State Information Commissioner

(Per A. Venkataratnam)

Dated: 22/11/2007.

Appellant in person.

Adv. K. L. Bhagat for both the Respondents.

ORDER

A second appeal is filed against the order dated 17/7/2007 of the first Appellate Authority, hereinafter referred to as the "impugned order" under Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act for short). The case of the Appellant is that the information asked by him on 25/01/2007 & 7/5/2007 were not completely given by the Public Information Officer. On the other hand, both the Respondents filed their statements denying the allegations and submitted that the complete information is already given. Notices were issued and at the time of arguments the Appellant has specifically mentioned that the information with respect to the following items has not yet been received by him. i) Copy of the B.Sc. degree certificate of Mr. D. S. Sanzgiri ; ii) Service certificate as Clerk at M/s. Gasosa and the 10 years with the Government of Goa of Mr. F. Gonsalves. The Respondents have contended that Mr. D. S. Sanzgiri does not have the B.Sc.

...2/-

qualification at all and his marksheet of the first year degree which is available with the company was already given to the Appellant. Similarly, the service certificate of Mr. F. Gonsalves of his service with Government is already given to the Appellant whereas no such service certificate in respect of his private employment is available with the company. We accept the view of Respondents that whatever information available with the company was already given and that the Appellant's contention is not borne out by the facts. Accordingly, the second appeal is dismissed as having no merit.

Pronounced in the open court on this 22nd day of November, 2007.

Sd/-
(A. Venkataratnam)
State Chief Information Commissioner

Sd/-
(G. G. Kambli)
State Information Commissioner

/sf.